



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
09/430,644	10/29/1999	ROBERT NORMAN HURST	SAR-13543	7580	
28166	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	ATTERSON & SHERIL CORPORATION	DAN, LLP	AN, SHAWN S		
	SBURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100 SHREWSBI	JRY, NJ 07702		2613		
OTTACE WORK	711, 110 07702		DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/430,644	HURST, ROBERT NORM	HURST, ROBERT NORMAN	
,	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shawn S An	2613		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	•	
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this ner: (1) a timely filed amendmo Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to ent which places the application	a ı in	
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP	EP	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f extension and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply origina	Int of the fee. The appropriate extension ly set in the final Office action: or (2) as	fee under set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3	ellant's Brief must be filed withi 7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ente	red because:			
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	Note below);	,		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal l	oy materially reducing or simpli	fying the	
(d) they present additional claims without continued to the NOTE:	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		l in a separate, timely filed ame	endment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ requession in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has bee e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	n considered but does NOT pla	ice the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were nev	wly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair	ment(s) a)⊠ will not be enterents would be rejected is provide	ed or b) will be entered and a ed below or appended.	n	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll	ows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: 5,13 and 21.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-12,14-20 and 22-24</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is not pursuasive. Applicants argue that Wee does not teach an out-point adapter, wherein each of the out-point adapters include a predefined terminating out-point condition. However, upon further review of the prior art references cited, Hurst, Jr. et al (primary reference) discloses an out-point adapter (Fig. 6, 635), wherein each of the out-point adapters includes a predefined terminating out-point condition (635; OUT POINT). In other words, Hurst Jr. et al's out-point adapter is substantially the same as Applicants' out-point adapter comprising a portion of the transport stream (635; PACKET).

